

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- BLACK BORDERS**
- IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

1. (Currently amended) A method for designing a low drag vehicle comprising:
determining a plurality of aircraft configurations for at least two different Mach numbers that minimize the rate of change of second derivatives of cross-sectional area of the vehicle; and
averaging the configurations to determine a final configuration.
2. (Currently amended) The method according to Claim 1 further comprising:
optimizing the aircraft configuration for cross-sectional areas obtained along Mach angle lines.
3. (Original) The method according to Claim 1 further comprising:
smoothing the second derivative of cross-sectional area for the final configuration.
4. (Original) The method according to Claim 1 further comprising:
weighting the configurations for the at least two Mach numbers.
5. (Original) The method according to Claim 1 further comprising:
integrating the second derivative of cross-sectional area for the final configuration to determine the cross-sectional area.
6. (Original) The method according to Claim 3 wherein smoothing the second derivative of cross-sectional area includes filtering the rate of change of cross-sectional area for the final configuration.
7. (Original) The method according to Claim 1 further comprising:
determining weighting factors for the at least two Mach numbers based on a percentage of time the vehicle is expected to operate at each Mach number during typical operational profiles.

KOESTNER BERTANI LLP
11401 MACARTHUR BLVD.
SUITE 400
FORT LAUDERDALE,
FL 33309-1657
TEL (954) 467-1657
FAX (954) 221-0820

8. (Original) The method according to Claim 1 further comprising:
determining weighting factors for the at least two Mach numbers based on at least one
of the group of: minimized drag, minimized sonic boom disturbance, and minimized inlet
flow distortion.

9. (Original) The method according to Claim 1 further comprising:
wherein smoothing the second derivative of the cross-sectional area includes
averaging the value of a selected point of second derivative of the cross-sectional area with
the point before and a point after the selected point.

10. (Currently amended) The method according to Claim 1 ~~further comprising:~~
wherein the vehicle is an aircraft.

11. (Withdrawn) A design system comprising:
logic instructions operable to:
allow a user to specify design objectives and constraints;
determine a plurality of vehicle configurations for at least two different operating
conditions that minimize the drag of the vehicle within the specified design objectives and
constraints; and
average the configurations to determine a final configuration.

12. (Withdrawn) The system according to Claim 11 further comprising:
logic instructions operable to:
optimize the cross-sectional area of the at least two vehicle configurations.

13. (Withdrawn) The system according to Claim 11 further comprising:
logic instructions operable to:
smooth the second derivative of cross-sectional area for the final configuration.

14. (Withdrawn) The system according to Claim 11 further comprising:
logic instructions operable to:
weight the at least two configurations at different operating conditions.

15. (Withdrawn) The system according to Claim 11 further comprising:
logic instructions operable to:
integrate at least one of the rate change of cross-sectional and the rate of change of cross-sectional area for the final configuration to determine the cross-sectional area.
16. (Withdrawn) The system according to Claim 11 further comprising:
a computer processor configured to execute the logic instructions.
17. (Withdrawn) The system according to Claim 11 further comprising:
logic instructions operable to:
smooth at least one of the first and second derivative of cross-sectional area to determine the cross-sectional area of final configuration.
18. (Withdrawn) The system according to Claim 11 further comprising:
logic instructions operable to:
determine weighting factors for the at least two operating conditions based on the difference between thrust available and thrust required.
19. (Withdrawn) The system according to Claim 11 further comprising:
logic instructions operable to:
determine weighting factors for the at least two operating conditions based on at least one of the group of: minimized drag, minimized sonic boom disturbance, and inlet flow distortion.
20. (Withdrawn) The system according to Claim 11 wherein the logic instructions to smooth the second derivative of the cross-sectional area include averaging the value of a selected point of the second derivative of the cross-sectional area with a point before and a point after the selected point.
21. (Withdrawn) The system according to Claim 11 wherein the vehicle is an aircraft.

KOESTNER BERTANI LLP
19951 COASTAL PARK BLVD
SUITE 200
IRVINE, CA 92618
TEL: (714) 551-0250
FAX: (714) 551-0250

22. (Withdrawn) A vehicle comprising:
a main body portion; and
a fin extending from the main body portion, wherein the cross-sectional area of the fin is reduced in the vicinity of the juncture of the fin and the main body portion, and the configuration of the vehicle is derived from the average of at least two configurations of the vehicle optimized for different Mach numbers.
23. (Withdrawn) The vehicle according to Claim 22 wherein the cross-sectional area of the at least two vehicle configurations are optimized.
24. (Withdrawn) The vehicle according to Claim 22 wherein the second derivative of the average cross-sectional area of the at least two configurations is smoothed.
25. (Withdrawn) The vehicle according to Claim 22 wherein the at least two configurations are weighted before the average of the at least two configurations is determined.
26. (Withdrawn) The vehicle according to Claim 22 wherein the vehicle is an aircraft.

KOESTHER_BERTANI LLP
1600 MACHARTEQUE BLVD
SUITE 100
IRVINE, CA 92614
TEL (714) 251-0220
FAX (714) 251-0220